

Homework is due on July 20th, before the tutorial.

Exercise 1 (H) (*Type Inference*)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type τ :

$$[] \vdash \lambda xyz. x y (y z) : \tau$$

Exercise 2 (H) (*Subject Reduction Property*)

A type system has the *subject reduction property* if evaluating an expression preserves its type. Prove that the simply typed λ -calculus (λ^\rightarrow) has the subject reduction property:

$$\Gamma \vdash t : \tau \wedge t \longrightarrow_\beta t' \implies \Gamma \vdash t' : \tau$$

Hints: Use induction over the inductive definition of \longrightarrow_β (Def. 1.2.2). State your inductive hypotheses precisely—it may help to introduce a binary predicate $P(t, t')$ to express the property you are proving by induction. Also note that the proof will require *rule inversion*: Given $\Gamma \vdash t : \tau$, the shape of t (variable, application, or λ -abstraction) may determine which typing rule must have been used to derive the typing judgment.

Within your proof, you are free to use the following lemma about substitution:

$$\Gamma \vdash u : \tau_0 \wedge \Gamma[x : \tau_0] \vdash t : \tau \implies \Gamma \vdash t[u/x] : \tau \quad (1)$$

Exercise 3 (T) (*Let-Polymorphism*)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type τ :

$$[z : \tau_0] \vdash \text{let } x = \lambda yz. z y y \text{ in } x (x z) : \tau$$

Exercise 4 (T) (*Recursive Let*)

Recursive let expressions are one way (besides Y -combinators) to add recursion to λ^\rightarrow .

$$t ::= x \mid (t_1 t_2) \mid (\lambda x. t) \mid \text{letrec } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2$$

- Modify the standard typing rule for “let” to create a suitable rule for “letrec”.
- Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type τ :

$$[] \vdash \text{letrec } x = \lambda y. x (x y) \text{ in } x x : \tau$$

Exercise 5 (T) (*Normal Form*)

Show that every type-correct λ^\rightarrow -term has a β -normal form.