

### Exercise 60 (Type Inference)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type  $\tau$ :

$$[] \vdash \lambda xyz. x y (y z) : \tau$$

### Solution

Abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma) \\ \sigma &= (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma) \\ \Gamma &= [x : \rho, y : \alpha \rightarrow \beta, z : \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash y : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash x : \rho}{\Gamma \vdash xy : \beta \rightarrow \gamma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash y : \alpha \rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma \vdash z : \alpha}{\Gamma \vdash yz : \beta}}{[x : \rho, y : \alpha \rightarrow \beta, z : \alpha] \vdash xy(yz) : \gamma}}{[x : \rho, y : \alpha \rightarrow \beta] \vdash \lambda z. xy(yz) : \alpha \rightarrow \gamma}}{[x : \rho] \vdash \lambda yz. xy(yz) : \sigma}}{[] \vdash \lambda xyz. xy(yz) : \rho \rightarrow \sigma}$$

### Exercise 61 (Recursive let)

Recursive `let` expressions are one way (besides  $Y$ -combinators) to add recursion to  $\lambda \rightarrow$ .

$$t ::= x \mid (t_1 t_2) \mid (\lambda x. t) \mid \mathbf{letrec} \ x = t_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ t_2$$

- Modify the standard typing rule for `let` to create a suitable rule for `letrec`.
- Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type  $\tau$ :

$$[] \vdash \mathbf{letrec} \ x = \lambda y. x (x y) \ \mathbf{in} \ x x : \tau$$

### Solution

- The rule for `letrec` is like the rule for `let`, but we also add  $x$  to  $\Gamma$  when checking  $t_1$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma[x : \sigma_1] \vdash t_1 : \sigma_1 \quad \Gamma[x : \sigma_1] \vdash t_2 : \sigma_2}{\Gamma \vdash (\mathbf{letrec} \ x = t_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ t_2) : \sigma_2} \text{LETREC}$$

Alternatively, we can combine this rule with the  $\forall$ -intro typing rule:

$$\frac{\{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n\} = FV(\tau) \setminus FV(\Gamma) \quad \Gamma[x : \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \tau] \vdash t_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma[x : \forall \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n. \tau] \vdash t_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{letrec } x = t_1 \text{ in } t_2 : \tau_2} \text{LETREC}'$$

b) Abbreviations:  $\Gamma_1 = [x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha]$  and  $\Gamma_2 = [x : \forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow \alpha, y : \alpha]$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\Gamma_2 \vdash x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha}{\Gamma_2 \vdash x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{VAR}' \quad \frac{\Gamma_2 \vdash x : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash y : \alpha}{\Gamma_2 \vdash x y : \alpha} \text{APP}}{\Gamma_2 \vdash x (x y) : \alpha} \text{APP}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash \lambda y. x (x y) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \text{ABS}}{\frac{\frac{\text{see above}}{\Gamma_1 \vdash \lambda y. x (x y) : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha} \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash x : (\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \beta \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash x x : \beta \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma_1 \vdash x x : \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{VAR}' \quad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash x : \beta \rightarrow \beta}{\Gamma_1 \vdash x : \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{APP}}{[] \vdash \text{letrec } x = \lambda y. x (x y) \text{ in } x x : \beta \rightarrow \beta} \text{LETREC}'}$$

### Homework 62 (let-Polymorphism)

Give a derivation tree for the following statement, and so determine the type  $\tau$ :

$$[z : \tau_0] \vdash \text{let } x = \lambda y z. z y y \text{ in } x (x z) : \tau$$

### Homework 63 (Constructive logic)

a) Prove the following statement using the calculus for intuitionistic propositional logic:

$$((c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow a) \rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b)$$

*Hint:* To make your proof tree more compact, you may remove unneeded assumptions to the left of the  $\vdash$  during the proof as you see fit. For example, the following step is valid:

$$\frac{p \vdash p}{p, q \vdash p}$$

b) Give a well-typed expression in  $\lambda^{\rightarrow}$  with the type

$$((\gamma \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow \beta)$$

(You don't need to give the derivation tree.)