LOGICS EXERCISE

TU München Institut für Informatik

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW DR. PETER LAMMICH SIMON WIMMER

SS 2016

EXERCISE SHEET 1

13.04.2016

Submission of Homework: Before tutorial on Apr 20

Exercise 1.1. [Short Questions]

Let M be a set of formulas, and let F and G be formulas. Which of the following assertions hold?

- 1. If F satisfiable then $M \vDash F$
- 2. F is valid iff $\top \vDash F$
- 3. If $\vDash F$ then $M \vDash F$
- 4. If $M \vDash F$ then $M \cup \{G\} \vDash F$
- 5. $M \vDash F$ and $M \vDash \neg F$ cannot hold simultaneously
- 6. If $M \vDash G \to F$ and $M \vDash G$ then $M \vDash F$

Exercise 1.2. [Coincidence Lemma]

Assume that for all atomic formulas A_i in F, $\mathcal{A}(A_i) = \mathcal{A}'(A_i)$. Show that

 $\mathcal{A} \vDash F \text{ iff } \mathcal{A}' \vDash F$

Exercise 1.3. [Semantic Proof]

Let $\vDash F \to G$ where F and G do not share any atoms. Show that then either F is unsatisfiable or G is an tautology (or both). *Hint:* you may want to use the previous result.

Exercise 1.4. [Satisfiability Algorithms]

Check the following formulas for satisfiability using one of the algorithms seen in the lecture:

- $F_1 = (\neg A \lor \neg D \lor B) \land D \land \neg B \land E \land (\neg D \lor \neg E \lor C)$
- $F_2 = (A \to C) \land (C \to E) \land (\top \to B) \land (C \land A \to D) \land (B \to A) \land (B \to E) \land (D \land E \to \bot)$
- $F_3 = (A \to E) \land (B \to \bot) \land (C \to B) \land (\top \to A) \land (E \land B \to C) \land (C \to D)$

ANNOUNCEMENT: You have to achieve a minimum combined score of 50% on your homeworks to be granted a 0.3/0.4 grade bonus on the final exam, provided that the exam is passed.

Homework 1.1. [CNF and DNF] (5 points) Use the rewriting-based procedure from the lecture to convert the following formulas F and G first to NNF, and then to CNF and DNF. Document each rewriting step.

$$F = \neg \neg (A_1 \land \neg (A_2 \lor A_3)) \qquad \qquad G = \neg (A_1 \lor \neg A_2 \land (A_3 \lor A_1))$$

Homework 1.2. [Consequence] (5 points) Let M be a finite set of formulas, and F_1, \ldots, F_n be formulas. Consider the two statements:

- 1. $\{F_1, \ldots, F_n\} \subseteq M$
- 2. $M \models \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} F_i$

Prove that 1) implies 2). Are the statements even equivalent? Proof or counterexample!

Homework 1.3. [Duality and NNF] (5 points) Let F be a formula in NNF. Let F' be the formula that is constructed from F by replacing every \land by \lor , every \lor by \land , every $\neg A_i$ by A_i , and every A_i by $\neg A_i$. Show that, for every assignment \mathcal{A} , we have: If $\mathcal{A} \models F$, then $\mathcal{A} \nvDash F'$!

Homework 1.4. [Small Parity Formulas] (5 points) We define the size |F| of a formula F by counting the number of operator symbols and atomic formulas. Formally:

$$|A_i| = 1 \qquad |\neg F| = 1 + |F| \qquad |F \land G| = 1 + |F| + |G| \qquad |F \lor G| = 1 + |F| + |G|$$

Your task is to construct a family of formulas $\{F_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that F_n contains the atomic formulas A_1, \ldots, A_n , and we have for every assignment \mathcal{A} :

$$\mathcal{A} \models F_n$$
 if and only if $|\{A_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(A_i) = 1\}|$ is odd

Moreover, the size of your formula must be at most quadratic in n, i.e., $|F_n| = O(n^2)$. For simplicity, you may assume that n is a power of two. Justify your construction (both, correctness and size)!

LOGICS