LOGICS EXERCISE

TU München Institut für Informatik

PROF. TOBIAS NIPKOW DR. PETER LAMMICH SIMON WIMMER

 $\mathrm{SS}~2016$

EXERCISE SHEET 7

25.05.2016

Submission of Homework: Before tutorial on June 1

Exercise 7.1. [QE for DLO]

Use the quantifier-elimination procedure for DLOs to check we ther the following formula is a member of Th(DLO):

 $\exists x \forall y \exists z ((x < y \lor z < x) \land y < z)$

Exercise 7.2. [Compactness for FOL]

Prove the Compactness Theorem for first-order logic:

If every finite subset of a (countable) set M of formulas has a model, then M as a whole has a model.

Hint: You may use the following definitions and theorems:

Let $M = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots\}$. Then $skolem(M) = \{F'_1, F'_2, \ldots\}$, where F'_i is a Skolem form of F_i , and the Skolem-functions in the F'_i are pairwise disjoint.

Note: Wlog, we assume that M is of a form that allows us to find enough fresh function symbols for Skolem functions.

Theorem: M is satisfiable iff skolem(M) is satisfiable.

Exercise 7.3. [Axiomatizations and Compactness]

Using compactness, show that if a theory is finitely axiomatizable, any countable axiomatization of it has a finite subset that axiomatizes the same theory. In other words, if $Cn(\Gamma) = Cn(\Delta)$ with Γ countable and Δ finite, then there is a finite $\Gamma' \subseteq \Gamma$ with $Cn(\Gamma') = Cn(\Gamma)$.

LOGICS

Homework 7.1. [Theories]

- 1. Show Cn(S) = Th(Mod(S)), i.e. show $Th(Mod(S)) = \{F \mid F \Sigma \text{-sentence and } S \models F\}$
- 2. Show that Cn is a closure operator, i.e. Cn fulfills the following properties:
 - $S \subseteq Cn(S)$
 - if $S \subseteq S'$ then $Cn(S) \subseteq Cn(S')$
 - Cn(Cn(S)) = Cn(S)

Solution: In the following, suppose S, S' are sets of Σ -sentences and F is Σ -sentence.

 $F \in Cn(S)$ iff $S \models F$ iff for all suitable \mathcal{A} , if $\mathcal{A} \models S$ then $\mathcal{A} \models F$ iff for all Σ -structures \mathcal{A} , if $\mathcal{A} \models S$ then $\mathcal{A} \models F$ iff for all Σ -structures, for all $F \in S$, if $\mathcal{A} \models S$ then $\mathcal{A} \models F$ iff for all $\mathcal{A} \in Mod(S), \mathcal{A} \models F$ iff $F \in Th(Mod(S))$

$$F \in S \Longrightarrow S \models F \Longrightarrow Cn(S) \models F$$

 $F\in Cn(S)\Longrightarrow S\models F\Longrightarrow S'\models F\Longrightarrow F\in Cn(S')$

From the above two: $Cn(S) \subseteq Cn(Cn(S))$

$$F \in Cn(Cn(S)) \Longrightarrow Cn(S) \models F \Longrightarrow^{(*)} S \models F \Longrightarrow F \in Cn(S)$$

We have (*) because $\mathcal{A} \models Cn(S)$ iff $\mathcal{A} \models S$ by definition of Cn.

Homework 7.2. [Quantifier Elimination for $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S, =)$] (5 points) Give a quantifier-elimination procedure for $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S, =)$ where S is the successor operation on natural numbers, i.e. S(n) = n + 1.

Hint: a = b iff $S^k(a) = S^k(b)$ for any $a, b, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(5 points)

Solution: We assume $F = \exists x (A_1 \land \ldots \land A_n)$ where x occurs in all A_i and each A_i is of the form

$$S^k(x) = S^m(t)$$
 or $S^k(x) \neq S^m(t)$

where t is 0 or a variable (using symmetry of =). By observing that $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S) \models (S^k(x) = S^k(x)) \leftrightarrow \top$ and $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S) \models (S^k(x) \neq S^k(x)) \leftrightarrow \bot$, we may further assume $x \neq t$.

We have to distinguish two cases: (1) all A_i only use \neq but not =. Then

 $Th(\mathbb{N}, 0, S) \models (\exists x(A_1 \land \ldots \land A_n)) \leftrightarrow \top$

(2) There is at least one A_i of the form $S^k(x) = t$ where $x \neq t$. We replace A_i using the following procedure:

- If k > 0, we add the constraints $t \neq 0 \land \ldots \land t \neq S^{k-1}(0)$ to ensure that the solution for x is non-negative.
- In each other A_j , we replace $S^m(x) = u$ by $S^m(t) = S^k(u)$ using the hint.

Homework 7.3. [Quantifier Elimination for DLOs with endpoints] (5 points) Let $\Sigma = \{a, b, <, =\}$ and replace the last two axioms for DLOs with:

• $\forall x(x = a \lor a < x)$

•
$$\forall x(x = b \lor x < b)$$

Modify the quantifier-elimination procedure for dense linear orders to obtain a quantifierelimination procedure for this theory.

What happens if there is only one endpoint?

Solution: Let us denote the new theory by Th(DLOP). We extend step three of the quantifier-elimination procedure for DLOs from the lecture to cater for cases where there are only bounds of the form t < x (of the form x < t). For this case we need ensure that none of them may be the respective endpoint (a or b) for those constraints to be satisfied. Thus, we use

$$Th(DLOP) \models \exists x (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} l_i < x) \leftrightarrow l_i < b$$

and

$$Th(DLOP) \models \exists x (\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} x < u_i) \leftrightarrow a < u_i$$

If there is only one endpoint, adding only the one type of corresponding constraints from above is sufficient.

Homework 7.4. [Decidable Axiomatizations] (5 points) Show that any set of sentences that is axiomatized by a recursively enumerable set is also axiomatized by a decidable set.

Hint: For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a possible encoding of n as a formula could be of the form

$$\underbrace{F \wedge \ldots \wedge F}_{n \text{ times } F}$$

for some formula F.

Solution: Suppose the recursively enumerable set $M = \{F_1, F_2, F_3, \ldots\}$ axiomatizes S. We define $M' = \{F_1 \land F_1, F_2 \land F_2 \land F_2, F_3 \land F_3 \land F_3 \land F_3, \ldots\}$. Clearly, M' axiomatizes S.

To decide wether $F \in M'$ for any sentence F, we check syntactially if $F = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} F'$ for some sentence F' and n > 1. If this is the case, we compute F_{n-1} (a terminating computation) and check wether $F' = F_{n-1}$. In this case we answer with 'yes', and we answer with 'no' in all other cases.