Propositional Logic Compactness

Compactness Theorem

Theorem A set S of formulas is satisfiable iff every finite subset of S is satisfiable.

Equivalent formulation:

A set S of formulas is unsatisfiable iff some finite subset of S is unsatisfiable.

Proof

 \Rightarrow : If S is satisfiable then every finite subset of S is satisfiable. Trivial.

 \Leftarrow : If every finite subset of S is satisfiable then S is satisfiable.

We prove that S has a model.

Proof

Terminology: \mathcal{A} is a b_1, \ldots, b_n model of T (where $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and T is a set of formulas) if $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}_i) = b_i$ (for $i = 1, \ldots, n$) and $\mathcal{A} \models T$.

Define an infinite sequence b_1, b_2, \ldots recursively as follows:

 $b_{n+1} = \text{some } b \in \{0,1\} \text{ s.t.}$ all finite $T \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ have a b_1,\ldots,b_n,b model.

Claim 1: For all n, all finite $T \subseteq S$ have a b_1, \ldots, b_n model. **Proof** by induction on n.

Case n = 0: because all finite $T \subseteq S$ are satisfiable.

Step: Need to show that a suitable b exists.

Proof by contradiction. Assume there is no suitable b.

Then there is a finite $T_0 \subseteq S$ that has no $b_1, \ldots, b_n, 0$ model (0) and there is a finite $T_1 \subseteq S$ that has no $b_1, \ldots, b_n, 1$ model (1).

Therefore $T_0 \cup T_1$ has no b_1, \ldots, b_n model \mathcal{A} :

 $A(A_{n+1}) = 0$ contradicts (0), $A(A_{n+1}) = 1$ contradicts (1).

But by IH: $T_0 \cup T_1$ has a b_1, \ldots, b_n model — Contradiction!

Proof

```
Define \mathcal{B}(A_i) = b_i for al i.
```

Claim 2: $\mathcal{B} \models S$

We show $\mathcal{B} \models F$ for all $F \in S$.

Let m be the maximal index of all atoms in F.

By Claim 1, $\{F\}$ has a b_1, \ldots, b_m model A.

Hence $\mathcal{B} \models F$ because \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} agree on all atoms in F.

5

Corollary

Corollary

If $S \models F$ then there is a finite subset $M \subseteq S$ such that $M \models F$.